



Australian Endurance Riders Association Inc.

Minutes of the Committee of Management Meeting - Adjourned

Date: Commencing at 7.30 pm AEST on Thursday 22 April 2021.

Venue: Webinar

The purpose of this meeting was to complete discussion of agenda items from the meeting of 11 April 2021.

1. Meeting opening

Linda Tanian, Chair, declared the meeting open at 7.32 pm, thanking everyone for their attendance.

2. Attendance

2.1. Management Committee Members Present

Linda Tanian (President) (VERA), Mark Dunn (Vice President) (TEERA), Kim Moir (Secretary) (QERA), Dick Collyer (QERA), Noni Seagrim (NSWERA), Matthew Walker (NSWERA), Jodie Luck (SAERA), Sarah Dumbrell (WAERA).

2.2. Apologies

Nil received

.

2.3. Proxy Votes

Nil received

2.4. Visitors

Delegates – Kerry Fowler-Smith (NSWERA), Pat Hodgetts (TEERA), Jane Radny (WAERA).

3. Register of Pecuniary Interest

No declarations were declared.

4. Correspondence – dealt with at end of meeting as late item on the agenda

5. Portfolio Reports

5.1. Governance and Policy

No report received.

Document 14.11.4 AERA Bylaw Fees and Charges

Information added to include what is paid by AERA for any TQ Event and clarification about how fees will be apportioned to DAs based on memberships of previous year.

Motion: 2021/04/09 Moved: Kim Moir / Dick Collyer

That AERA adopt the amended version of AERA By-laws Fees and Charges.

Carried unanimously.

Dissemination of information to Delegates

Secretary requested guidance about what information should be shared with Delegates and when.

Entities to consider are AERA Delegates, Honorary Members, visitors and members of AERA Sub-committees.

Currently Delegates get information when a meeting agenda is sent out, generally two weekends before a meeting. All documents are available via Google Drive and this could be available earlier. Delegates may also be involved in on-line discussions; nothing goes from the Secretary to Honorary Members or Sub-committee members unless specified as an action.

Draft correspondence goes to MC members for approval; note if this were to also go to Delegates, this would be a group of 23 potentially commenting on documents and this would be too onerous to manage with potentially 20 – 30 items after each meeting.

Items of correspondence are distributed to MC members as it comes in.

DAs receive minutes and agendas so any business on these documents can be discussed as per the outcomes of the meetings.

Noted that the Constitution invests management of the Association in the Management Committee and members are informed by provision of agenda and minutes to DAs and Honorary members.

Delegates can attend general meetings to vote on business at a general meeting but have no input to day-to-day management.

Concern raised that if Delegates are representing the members, the DAs, how do they do this if they are not included, other than general meetings. Comment that everything should be shared with Delegates and that more information shared gives better understanding of the decisions made by AERA.

Resolved to leave as is and Management Committee members can share with Delegates and report to DA Management Committees on business conducted by AERA, other than any business or comments that have been deemed confidential or sensitive.

DAs continue to receive agendas and minutes and these to also go to Honorary members.

Sub-committees are advised by the chair of the SC.

Visitors, other than Delegates, are attending for a specific purpose to present a report or information on a specific matter and should receive as much information as is relevant to the purpose of their attendance – agenda could be sent.

5.2. Tom Quilty Gold Cup

5.2.1. TQ21 – Collie, Western Australia – discussed at meeting on 11 April 2021

5.2.2. TQ22 – Tooraweenah, New South Wales

Documents provided:

- 14.12.2.1 200809_Tooraweenah Endurance Ride Meeting 9 Aug 2020
- 14.12.2.2 210131_Tooraweenah Endurance Ride Meeting 31 January 2021
- 14.12.2.3 210328_Project Lead List
- 14.12.2.4 210328_TQ21 Additional Tasks

Report

Date booked for the Quilty test event, this being the 10-11th July 2021. Head vet Matthew Walker arranged for this event.

Infrastructure at Show ground and camp area opposite grounds has commenced with lane way gates x5 inserted into fence line. Meeting with Gilgandra shire on site to discuss water availability took place and is under way to provide camp areas with sufficient access to water.

Meeting arranged for April 16th with Gilgandra shire to discuss sponsorship, grants and advertising.

Website development underway not yet up for viewing. Awaiting quotes for logo and promotion development.

Tom Mc Cormack assigned as AERA delegate for the 2022 Quilty.

Please see action plan list attached.

Discussion

Confirmed that Tom McCormack is CS for Test Event and that he will report back to AERA.

Matt Walker advised that he had vetted there two weeks ago, and Peter Bonham walked him through the area planned for TQ22.

AERA should have received an initial budget and biosecurity plan.

Action

To write to TQ22 Committee and ask for a presentation including budget and draft Biosecurity Plan.

5.2.3. TQ23 – Victoria

Invitation to host TQ23 send on 8 March 2021.

Linda advised that this was discussed at most recent meeting and a response will be provided in due course. Will be sending out a call for Expressions of Interest.

5.2.4. TQ Manual

No report received.

Forms to approve - provided as late agenda items.

TQM 4.0 Final Event Agreement

TQM 4.0 Interim Event Agreement

Final and Interim Event Agreements accepted without further amendment.

Action

Linda to distribute final versions and Secretary to forward to TQ21 and TQ22 Committees.

Discussion re TQ21

Noted that AERA is still waiting to be advised on use of surplus funds as this information is needed for the agreement to be signed as well as final version of the TQ21 Biosecurity Plan.

Jane reported that the TQ Vet Team are very strong on the recommendation for 21 days as recommended in DPIRD guidelines and have asked how deviation from this would impact on insurance cover. It was also stated that access to the referral hospital was dependant on acceptance of the Biosecurity Plan as it has been given.

Clarification sought if we are talking about 21 days before arrival at the venue or 21 days before when a referral would most probably occur which is ride day.

At less than 6 months out from the event with still no decision on the Biosecurity Plan.

Discussion re the referral hospital suggested it would be useful to have a statement from the referral hospital. Head Vet comment regarding other disciplines emphasised that endurance horses have more contact with people and interactions with one another through closeness in vetting areas and sharing of on-course water troughs.

Jane affirmed that Vet Team wants to encourage full participation of as many members but that no vet will go against the DPIRD recommendations.

Question asked if DPIRD would give an exemption on this; endeavour to find a solution to this with DPIRD. TQ22 Chair and Secretary to consider this as a strategy.

Suggestion that insurance may also be to their own professional insurance.

Need to have position from referral hospital – West Coast Vets - to be documented.

There has been no advice given on the option of exclusion tests before departure and need to be clear about monitoring versus quarantine.

Action

Copy of Insurance Policy with regard to Biosecurity to be forwarded to Jane Radny to provide to committee.

Final version of the Biosecurity Plan as accepted by the TQ21 Committee to be provided as a matter of high priority.

Jane to endeavour to get written policy from West Coast Vets and to seek advice from the CVO.

6. Rule Changes

6.1. Report from AERA Rulebook Sub-committee – Mark Dunn, Marylou Locke and Dick Collyer

The only Rule related activity since we last met was the text below re Rule 15 posted by me onto the AERA CS FB page a week or so back.

Comments were supportive of some discretion being allowed.

I spoke to Tom McCormack about this - he told me that the intention of the Rule was meant to be that one additional vet was necessary for every additional 30 horses (NOT part thereof).

In practice I believe most events would invite a third vet once numbers get above about 70, in effect, at the discretion of the ROC/CS/Head vet.

I think the current Rule and practice is fine and accordingly propose that:

- We write to DAs clarifying the meaning of the Rule
- Encourage DAs to recruit 'extra' vets, where required, per the usual event risk assessment.

Kind regards

Mark Dunn
Chair, Rulebook SC

Email from Mark Dunn to DS chatgroup

Rule 15.

Hi all,

In my capacity as AERA CS Liaison, I took a call today from a CS seeking an interpretation on Rule 15.

15. VET TO HORSE RATIO

15.1 When identifying the number of veterinarians required for an event, the ROC shall include all expected entries in all rides being conducted at the event.

a) For events utilising the Standard ride control there shall be:

- i. a minimum of 2 veterinarians for the first 60 horses or part thereof, and*
- ii. an additional veterinarian for every additional 30 horses.*

b) For events utilising the VGIH ride control there shall be:

- i. a minimum of 2 veterinarians for the first 30 horses or part thereof, and*
- ii. an additional veterinarian for every additional 30 horses.*

Using 15.1 a) as an example, say you have 75 entries across 40/80k rides, does this mean that:

- 1. you need three vets - 2 for the first 60 and one additional vet because you have more than 60; or*
- 2. you need two vets only - 2 for the first 60 and no need for a third because you don't have 'an additional 30 horses' (note that 15.1.a) ii. does not include the words 'or part thereof'.*

In Tasmania this scenario has always, to my knowledge, been taken to mean that you'd need three vets.

But there is another confounder What if you have 70 nominees for a ride? Assuming the usual 5 or so don't turn up or otherwise withdraw, you could expect - say - 65 horses to actually start. Given that vets are the biggest cost for ROCs, are you able to exercise some discretion and run with two vets only (assuming you agree with option 2 above)?

Further, does it make a difference if the rides are 5/20/40k or 40/80k?

Discussion

Letter to DAs to clarify the rule re Vet to Horse Ration – Mark / Kim

6.2. Motions for first vote

6.2.1.1. Notice of Motion – QERA

That rule 27.2 is amended to read (change noted in red)

27.2 *Riders* are subject to the following minimum age requirements on the *day of the ride*.

- a) To ride unaccompanied by an adult – ~~40~~ 12 years.
- b) To ride in the Tom Quilty Gold Cup – 12 years.
- c) To ride a stallion – 18 years.

Background

This motion was presented at the QERA AGM and subsequent voting saw this motion passed with 182 votes in favour and 25 votes against the motion.

Rationale:

There is concern that age 12 is too young for a child to potentially be out doing a ride alone. We may satisfy ourselves that parents will ensure that their child is accompanied by an adult, but it is recommended that this rule be changed to raise the age to ride unaccompanied to 12 years.

“There’s no one law in Australia that says how old your child has to be before you can leave him alone. In Queensland, if you leave a child **under 12 years of age** for an unreasonable amount of time without supervision you have committed a criminal offence. But the legislation also says that whether the time is unreasonable depends on all the relevant circumstances.

” Elsewhere in Australia, the law says you are legally obliged to make sure that your child is safe, and your child’s needs are met. You can be charged with an offence if your child is left in a dangerous situation, not fed, clothed or provided with accommodation.”

Raisingchildren.net.au – the Australian Parenting website.

- Parents have to use their own judgement about leaving children home alone.
- The sport of endurance is unique in that all ages compete together on the same tracks, in the same event.
- Home alone is probably safer than being out in the Imbil Forest, for example, in the dark.
- Some children are very mature for age and others are not.
- Potentially being an endurance rider from a young age will advance children’s ability to problem solve and manage some challenging experiences.
- Developmentally, children under 12 years of age are more likely to take directions from adults rather than make their own decisions.
- Horse riders are subject to road rules in all states – most rides include some tracks that are public roads. Horses are regarded as a vehicle.
- Children under 12 years of age should not cycle on the road and adults are allowed to ride bikes on footpaths with a child while the child is under 12 years of age.
- Generally, the minimum age for employment is 13. Each state has different rules relating to employment of children – one of interest is children working before 6.00 am and later than 7.00 pm must be accompanied by a parent or an adult with written consent from the parent.

Discussion

Dick from QERA’s perspective 12 years is a more legally defensible position.

Linda suggested this is only for Queensland.

Kim – example given by Play by the Rules “children on excursion being allowed to roam unaccompanied in a public place or not accompanying to cross the road as not being diligent in their duty of care”. Being left home alone has to be considered with consideration to all factors that may increase the risk – at home is safer than in the forest. Had sought feedback from those who had voted against this but got no response.

Kerry – there is a National Children and Young Persons Protection legislation to be enacted through the states under their own legislation and there are different requirements for children aged under 16 and young people aged above 16.

Jodie – no law in Victoria to say at what age children can be left alone.

Motion: 2021/04/10 Moved: Kim Moir / Mark Dunn

That rule 27.2 is amended to read (change noted in red)

27.2 Riders are subject to the following minimum age requirements on the day of the ride.

- a) To ride unaccompanied by an adult – ~~10~~ 12 years.***
- b) To ride in the Tom Quilty Gold Cup – 12 years.***
- c) To ride a stallion – 18 years.***

Voting: KM – yes; MD – yes; JL – yes; NS – no; SD – yes; DC – yes; MW – no; LT – no.

Motion carried 5 votes for; 3 votes against

Passed for first vote.

6.2.2. Notice of Motion: identified riding divisions – QERA

19.2 The ROC shall:

- a) promote an atmosphere of cooperation and collaboration between the members of the ROC, ride officials, riders and their support personnel
- b) liaise with the chief steward and head veterinarian prior to and during the event to ensure the smooth and efficient conduct of their event
- c) ensure that AERA Form 20 [Volunteers List] is fully and accurately completed
- d) ensure that each rider is provided with a riding bib displaying a unique number and/or colour sequence
- e) provide a different sequence of numbers and/or coloured bibs to distinguish riders in different rides.
- f) **identify the division that each rider is entered in, through a number or colour system, so each rider can identify riders competing in the same division.**

Background

This motion was presented at the QERA AGM and subsequent voting saw this motion passed with 120 votes in favour and 81 votes against the motion.

Rationale

- All ride organisers should be able to identify the weight divisions.
- This can be administered when members are nominating and receive a different coloured bib for their riding division.
- The sport's motto is "ride to complete", but there is often the case where there is a gallop finish between 2 people who may be in different divisions, or the same division.
- Identification would minimise unnecessary competition and spectators would be able to identify different classes of riders. This would improve the spectator value of the sport.
- There is support for competition in the rules and constitutions.

Discussion

Noted that VERA and SAERA already do this.

Concern that this can lead to more competition and perhaps over-riding of horses.

Also concern that this is another task, and potential cost, for Ride Organisers to manage.

Motion: 2021/04/11 Moved: Dick Collyer / Mark Dunn

That the motion is amended to read

f) "have the option to" identify the division that each rider is entered in, through a number of colour system, so each rider can identify riders competing in the same division."

Voting: KM – no; MD – yes; JL – yes; NS – no; SD – no; DC – yes; MW – no; LT – yes.

Motion lost: For - 4 votes; Against - 4 votes.

Motion: 2021/04/12 Moved: Kim Moir / Dick Collyer

That rule 19.2 is amended to include:

g) identify the division that each rider is entered in, through a number or colour system, so each rider can identify riders competing in the same division.

Voting: KM – yes; MD – no; JL - no; NS – no; SD – no; DC – no; MW – no; LT – no

Motion lost: For – 1 vote; Against – 7 votes

6.2.3. Notice of Motion: Riders with Special Needs – QERA

Proposed new part to rule 27

27.8 A rider with special needs such as a physical, intellectual or ~~emotional~~ psychological impairment may participate in a ride subject to appropriate safety measures and controls being in place. This participation is subject to the consultation with the Ride Organising Committee and the Chief Steward to ensure relevant Risk Assessment and Risk Management.

Rationale

Some guidance is required for Ride Organising Committees so that when they are aware that a person with a disability is participating, the risk is assessed and managed.

Discussion

Dick - have had a vision impaired rider complete a ride and that risk was added to the ride risk management policy. She did turn up at another ride but had provision had not been made for this in the risk management for that ride.

Kerry - concerned about the liability risk and would be hesitant as a /ride Organiser to take on the risk management for this. Individual takes on the risk as they do in everyday lives and makes those decisions.

Dick – did consult with Insurers re physically impaired person and the advice was that if risk management has identified the risk and has appropriate safety measures and controls in place, they are happy to insure that risk. Anti-discrimination legislations requires that we do not discriminate, provided that we are satisfied that any risk has been mitigated to an appropriate level.

Kerry – are we going to consider pregnancy - suggest that we seek legal advice about our liability, misfeasance, nonfeasance principal stuff.

Dick – it is the law that we make the sport inclusive, and we have to consider how to do that.

Linda - is this not what we already do.

Motion: 21/04/13 Moved: Dick Collyer / Mark Dunn

That Rule 27 be amended to add

27.8 A rider with special needs such as a physical, intellectual or ~~emotional~~ psychological impairment may participate in a ride subject to appropriate safety measures and controls being in place. This participation is subject to the consultation with the Ride Organising Committee and the Chief Steward to ensure relevant Risk Assessment and Risk Management.

Voting: KM – yes; MD - yes; JL - yes; NS – no; SD – yes; DC – yes; MW – yes; LT – yes

Motion carried: For – 7 votes; Against – 1 vote.

Passed for first vote.

6.2.4. Notice of Motion: Complementary Competition rules – QERA

That Rule 2.26 of these rules be changed from:

“2.26 Where a harness ride is to be conducted over the same course as a ridden ride, the harness ride shall be started a minimum of 15 minutes after the start of the ridden event.”

To:

2.26 Where a harness drive is to be conducted over the same course as a ridden ride, the start time of the harness drive will be determined by the Chief Steward in consultation with the Ride Organising Committee.

Rationale

This gives flexibility so that Ride Organisers and CS can determine the best way to manage shared tracks.

Discussion

Nil discussion.

Motion: 21/04/14 Moved: Dick Collyer / Kim Moir

That Rules 2.26 be amended to read

Where a harness drive is to be conducted over the same course as a ridden ride, the start time of the harness drive will be determined by the Chief Steward in consultation with the Ride Organising Committee.

Voting: KM – yes; MD - yes; JL - yes; NS – yes; SD – yes; DC – yes; MW – yes; LT – yes

Motion carried: For – 8 votes; Against – 0 votes.

Passed for the first vote.

6.2.5. Notice of Motion: Definition of Social Rides – QERA

That Chapter 1, para 2, table 2 – Definitions; and para 4, table 4 – Ride Definitions are amended to include a definition of a Social Ride.

Social Ride:- a recreational trail or social rides for DA members and non-members, hosted by an affiliated Ride Organising Committee, that is less than 30 km. Social rides are non-competitive with no placings. Completion awards are not required. Distance completed is not included in the National or State distance score. Horses are not subject to veterinary controls.

Rationale

This is offered as a means of ensuring that the planning of Social Rides is compliant with the requirements of the insurance policy.

Discussion

Dick – a number of clubs run a social ride as per the motion.

Kim - often run as a promotional ride to educate on the sport; they are covered by insurance because we put them on the calendar.

Mark – been a recent topic in Tas and think this is a good idea but 30 km it too long. Would like to move an amendment that this be less than 20.

Matt – seek to clarify what is different – they are covered by insurance; risk of horses being compromised with no vet on hand.

Dick – clarified that this is not run as part of a formal ride. These events are happening and feel that a definition is needed to be clear that this is covered under our insurance.

Jodie – have done this after a TPR clinic.

Sarah – have usually held a “clinic” at the beginning of the year as an education weekend including all aspects of a ride – vetting etc.

Kerry – interesting discussion and contrary to what we have done over the last years. Don’t see running a social ride by club members to invite friends is not AERA business. This flies in the face of our horse welfare.

Dick – reiterate that these events are happening now and is a cheaper, affordable option for people to be introduced to the sport.

Mark – less happy with the “less than 20km”

Motion: 2021/04/15 Moved: Mark Dunn / Dick Collyer

That the motion be amended to read

Chapter 1, para 2, table 2 – Definitions; and para 4, table 4 – Ride Definitions are amended to include a definition of a Social Ride.

Social Ride:- a recreational trail or social rides for DA members and non-members, hosted by an affiliated Ride Organising Committee, that is less than 30 20 km. Social rides are non-competitive with no placings. Completion awards are not required. Distance completed is not included in the National or State distance score. Horses are not subject to veterinary controls.

Voting: KM – yes; MD - yes; JL - yes; NS – no; SD – yes; DC – yes; MW – no; LT – yes

Amendment carried: For – 6 votes; Against – 2 votes.

Motion: 2021/04/16 Moved: Dick Collyer / Kim Moir

That Chapter 1, para 2, table 2 – Definitions; and para 4, table 4 – Ride Definitions are amended to include a definition of a Social Ride.

Social Ride:- a recreational trail or social rides for DA members and non-members, hosted by an affiliated Ride Organising Committee, that is less than 20 km. Social rides are non-competitive with no placings. Completion awards are not required. Distance completed is not included in the National or State distance score. Horses are not subject to veterinary controls. Voting: KM – yes; MD - yes; JL - no; NS – no; SD – no; DC – yes; MW – no; LT – no Motion lost: For – 3 votes; Against – 5 votes.

6.2.6. Notice of Motion: Rule 54 Horse Containment - TEERA

Rule 54.2 (see below) is interpreted by some to mean that the only permissible yards are metal yards or electrically charged yards with the tape supported by steel pickets. There are other options that, in TEERA's opinion, are equally effective, for example, this one → <https://www.greystonevacuums.com/product/travel-fence-temporary-horse-corrals/>. In considering this, TEERA's CS liaison, Mark Dunn, consulted other senior Chief Stewards, who agreed that this yarding should be permitted.

TEERA proposes that, in order to make it clear that such yards are permitted, the words 'for example' be added as below.

54. HORSE CONTAINMENT

54.1 The person responsible for a horse has a duty of care to all other persons to ensure their horse(s) are contained at all times. A competent responsible person must supervise horse(s) at all times when horse(s) are in the horse yard.

54.2 The preferred method of horse containment are metal yards, substantially fixed to a solid object such as a horse float, truck or permanent fence or appropriately pegged into the ground. Alternatively, electric fencing is permitted, but shall as a minimum, have 2 strands of tape, preferably white and a minimum of 1cm wide, with the top tape to be 1.2 to 1.4 metres above the ground. The posts used should be of substantial material (for example, steel pickets) and installed so that the tape does not sag. The fence shall be energized at all times the horse is in the yard.

54.3 Only one horse is permitted per enclosure.

54.4 Ground tethering or the hobbling of horses is not permitted.

Discussion

Mark – motion has come from discussions that demonstrate that “of substantial material” can only mean steel pickets and want to give the understanding that other options can be used.

**Motion: 2021/04/17 Moved: Mark Dunn / Kim Moir
That rule 54.2 be amended with the addition of “for example”, to read:**

54.2 The preferred method of horse containment are metal yards, substantially fixed to a solid object such as a horse float, truck or permanent fence or appropriately pegged into the ground. Alternatively, electric fencing is permitted, but shall as a minimum, have 2 strands of tape, preferably white and a minimum of 1cm wide, with the top tape to be 1.2 to 1.4 metres above the ground. The posts used should be of substantial material (for example, steel pickets) and installed so that the tape does not sag. The fence shall be energized at all times the horse is in the yard.

**Voting: KM – yes; MD - yes; JL - yes; NS – no; SD – yes; DC – yes; MW – yes; LT – yes
Motion carried: For – 7 votes; Against – 1 vote.**

6.3. Motions for second vote

No rule changes to bring forward for second vote.

7. General Business

7.1. Recovery of AERA property (historical documents) from Steve Swan.

Linda has had discussions with Steve, and he would prefer that this is picked up by a commercial entity. Noted that Linda also has a hard drive that has not yet been viewed.

Action

Kim to arrange for property to be uplifted from Swan’s and delivered to her home address.

7.2. Awards

Kerry to collaborate with Jody to organised vest and jacket for Tahlia Franke.

Have looked at websites re Decade Team Awards; need to determine a value that AERA is willing to spend.

Issue re Liz Malcahey awards – records from Registrar and it seems that scrolls have been sent but names were not added to list for awards. Will follow up on sourcing a rug and clock for these awards.

Will also contact Sandstream Etching in Toowoomba to order 20,000 km awards. Linda has been in touch with Cassie Malcahey to advise that we are looking into this and has apologised for the oversight.

Approval given to order these awards.

8. Late Correspondence

8.1. Correspondence Inwards – late or missed from main agenda

No	Date	Correspondence Inwards	Action
	05/03/21	Lyndall Harriman re Longreach to Winton 2023	To MC Members
L1	30/03/21	QERA re Referral hospitals	To MD

8.2. Correspondence Outwards

	Date	Correspondence Outwards	Author
	16/03/21	L Harriman – Longreach to Winton ride - acknowledgement	KM
L1	08/04/21	Biosecurity Qld re Land Transport of horses	MD

9.

8.3 Business arising from late Correspondence

8.3.1 Lyndall Harriman re Winton to Longreach

Refer this to QERA.

Action

Letter to Lyndall Harriman and to QERA - Kim.

Motion Moved: Dick Collyer / Kim Moir

That inwards correspondence is received and outwards endorsed

10. Next meeting dates

~~Saturday 12 June~~ Saturday 19 June following discussions post meeting.

Weekend of 4/5 September - tbc


Weekend 13/14 November – tbc

11. Meeting closure

Linda closed the meeting with thanks to all for their contribution.

Meeting closed at 9.55 pm.

President: Linda Tanian

Signature: 

Date: 24/01/2022

